


Q1 Please state the name of your school in the box below.

Answered: 16 Skipped: 0

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1		5/24/2019 2:08 PM
2		5/24/2019 11:56 AM
3		5/24/2019 9:19 AM
4		5/23/2019 10:35 PM
5		5/23/2019 1:53 PM
6		5/22/2019 9:43 PM
7		5/15/2019 11:12 AM
8		5/13/2019 1:55 PM
9		5/9/2019 11:27 AM

Q2 Please indicate which of the above options you would prefer in relation to the Split Site Criteria and the Growth Fund allocation. (Please select one box only)

Answered: 10 Skipped: 6



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Option 1 - Existing Criteria as agreed at Forum	20.00%	2
Option 2 – New Criteria all Schools	70.00%	7
Option 3 - Withdraw split site criteria for schools block funded schools and retain for High Needs Funded Schools Only	10.00%	1
Option 4 - No Split Site Criteria for any school.	0.00%	0
TOTAL		10

Schools Split Site and Pupil Growth Consultation

Q3 Please give your reasoning for your choice in the box below.

Answered: 3 Skipped: 13

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	There is an impact on admin staff requirements when a school essentially has two reception offices.	5/9/2019 10:53 AM
2	Split site funding should be used to mitigate against the additional costs faced by schools in such premises. Having to have separate heads of school and/or reception centres is the best way to identify this rather than which type of road intersects both sites.	5/9/2019 8:50 AM
3	Distance isn't always going to make a difference to costs incurred.	5/7/2019 3:04 PM


Q4 Are there any other comments you would like to make regarding Split Site Criteria? Please write in the box below.

Answered: 1 Skipped: 15

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	No	5/9/2019 10:53 AM

Q5 Please indicate which option in line with consultation document you believe is most appropriate for Tameside Schools.


Answered: 8 Skipped: 8



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Option 1 – No Growth Funding	0.00%	0
Option 2 – Growth Per Pupil Model	62.50%	5
Option 3 – Tapered Growth Per Pupil Model	37.50%	3
TOTAL		8

Q6 Schools are asked for their opinion on minimum funding levels for growth classes. Schools who are asked to take a growth class should receive a minimum level of funding where actual numbers of growth are less than planned numbers. To qualify for the growth funding it is considered reasonable that a school should take 5 or more children before they qualify for minimum funding. If a school is asked to take either a bulge class or is taking pupils on a Planned Continued Growth Agreement then pupil number funding may present a funding problem for the school if the class size is too small. There are a number of schools with half class sizes of 15 and therefore it is considered that as a minimum, funding support should be allocated for 15 pupils. Do you agree with minimum funding proposal?

Answered: 9 Skipped: 7




ANSWER CHOICES	PERCENTAGE	RESPONSES
No, minimum funding limits should be set	11.11%	1
Minimum funding limits should be set at 15 pupils with a growth class having at least an additional 5 pupils	88.89%	8
Other (please specify)	0.00%	0
TOTAL		9

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
	There are no responses.	

Q7 Which of the following options do you feel is most appropriate for Tameside school? Please tick one box only.


Answered: 8 Skipped: 8



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Option 1: No cap	25.00%	2
Option 2: Cap at 30 pupils	50.00%	4
Option 3: Cap at 45 pupils	25.00%	2
Option 4: Cap at 60 pupils	0.00%	0
TOTAL		8

Q8 Please indicate which of the options you feel is most appropriate regarding how funding should continue for the bulge classes outlined above. Please tick one box only.


Answered: 8 Skipped: 8



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Option 1: Funding should continue in both schools until the bulge class is in year 6	75.00%	6
Option 2: Funding should continue in school 1 only	0.00%	0
Option 3: Funding should continue for school 2 only	0.00%	0
Option 4: Schools should be funded under the new growth criteria for 1 April 2020	25.00%	2
TOTAL		8

Q9 Please select your preference for consultation options:

Answered: 9 Skipped: 7



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Option 1 - Schools Funding Group debate the issues and make recommendations for changes to funding on behalf of all schools and academies without further consultation.	22.22%	2
Option 2 - Schools Funding Group debate the issues and make recommendations for changes to funding on behalf of all schools and academies after further consultation with their represented sectors.	77.78%	7
TOTAL		9

Q10 Do you have any other comments you wish to make regarding the schools funding criteria discussed in this survey?

Answered: 2 Skipped: 14

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I am concerned about being asked to make financial decisions about two specific schools. Without knowing the wider financial position and the implications of any future models, it would seem to unfair to the specific schools who will have made commitments to accept additional numbers in good faith.	5/9/2019 9:19 AM
2	Not answered Q8 as I think a further option should have been available. When the schools agreed to take a bulge class what were they informed of how it should operate? If they were told that it would continue to Year 6 then this should be the case. If it was not then it seems reasonable to move to the new funding criteria - particularly as the schools will now be in receipt of the AWPU.	5/8/2019 8:43 AM